#### **Welcome and Instructions**

Welcome!

Dear colleague,

We are extremely grateful for your participation in the Chapel Hill survey on political parties.

Thank you in advance for sharing your expertise with us!

We would like you to reflect on the position of the **leadership of national parties in Ukraine** in the course of **2014**. The leadership of a political party consists of the party's chair, the party presidium, and the parliamentary party (as distinct from the party base or local and regional party officials).

Below you will find the abbreviations and full names of national parties, in the country language and in English.

Acronym	Full Name	English Translation
NF	Narodnyy Front	Popular Front
BPP	Blok Petra Poroshenka	Petro Poroshenko Bloc
SAMOPOMICH	Samopomich	Self Reliance Party
ОВ	Opozytsiynyy Blok	Opposition Bloc
RP	Radikalna Partiya Oleha Lyashka	Radical Party of Oleh Lyashko
BATKIVSHCHYNA	Batkivshchyna	All-Ukrainian Union "Fatherland"
SVOBODA	Svoboda	Freedom
KPU	Komunistychna Partiya Ukrayiny	Communist Party of Ukraine
SU	Sylna Ukraina	Strong Ukraine
GP	Hromadianska pozytsiia (Anatolii Grytsenko)	Civic Position (Anatolii Grytsenko)
ZASTUP	Vseukrayins'ke ahrarne ob'yednannya "ZASTUP"	Zastup
PS	Pravyy sektor	Right Sector

#### **General Questions on European Integration**

Consider EU integration. Where did the party leadership of the following parties stand in 2014?

Strongly Strongly

26/06/15 17:07 Qualtrics Survey Software opposes EU Somewhat Somewhat favors EU

	integration	Opposes	opposes	Neutral	favors	Favors	integration	Don't know
NF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SAMOPOMICH	0		$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
RP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
KPU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
GP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
PS	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$

# Next, we would like you to think about the salience of European integration for a party. Over the course of 2014, how important was the EU to the parties in their public stance?

	·										
	0: No importance	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10: Great Importance
NF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BPP	0	$\circ$									
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$									
ОВ	0	$\circ$									
RP	0	$\circ$									
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$									
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$									
KPU	0	$\circ$									
SU	0	$\circ$									
GP	0	$\circ$									
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$									
PS	0	$\circ$									

### What about conflict or dissent within parties over European integration over the course of 2014?

	0:Party was completely united	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10:Party was extremely divided	Don't know
NF	0	$\circ$	0	$\circ$								
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
SAMOPOMICH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

ОВ	0	0 0	0 0		0 (	0 0	0 0	
RP	0	0 0	0 0	$\circ$	0 (	0 0	0 0	$\circ$
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	0 0	0 0	$\circ$	0 (	0 0	0 0	$\circ$
SVOBODA	0	0 0	0 0	$\circ$	0 (	0 0	0 0	$\circ$
KPU	0	0 0	0 0	$\circ$	0 (	0 0	0 0	$\circ$
SU	0	0 0	0 0	$\circ$	0 (	0 0	0 0	$\circ$
GP	0	0 0	0 0	$\circ$	0 (	0 0	0 0	$\circ$
ZASTUP	0	0 0	0 0	$\circ$	0 (	0 0	0 0	$\circ$
PS	0	0 0	0 0	$\circ$	0 (	0 0	0 0	$\circ$
We now turn to privatization, ar leadership of th	nd restructure following  Strongly opposes	ring the st parties sta	ate's involv and in 2014	ement		pnomy). Who		rs
	economic reforms	e comprehens economic reforms		Neutral	Somewhat favors	economic reforms	economic reforms	Don't know
NF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
RP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$		$\circ$	$\circ$
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
KPU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
GP	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
PS	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$
Where did they ethnic minoritie		Opposes complying with EU	d/or holding				Strongly favors complying with EU political	
NF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
	Nunc							

RP	0		$\circ$		0	$\circ$	$\circ$	
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0	$\circ$
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
KPU	0	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0	$\circ$
SU	0	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0	0
GP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
PS	0	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0	0
Where did they administrative								ding
	good governance conditions	good governance conditions	Somewhat opposes	Neutral	Somewhat favors	good governance conditions	good governance conditions	Don't know
NF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$		$\circ$	$\circ$
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
RP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
KPU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
GP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$		$\circ$
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
PS	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
We now turn to in 2014.  Please tick the	o a few que							
(extreme left) to	0:Extreme	ne right).		-			10:Extre	me Don't
NF	left	1 2	3 4	5:Center	6 7	8	9 right	know
BPP			0 0	0	0 0			0
DI: I								
	I							

trics Survey Software											2	6/06/15
SAMOPOMICH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ОВ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\circ$	0	0	0		0
SVOBODA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KPU	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SU	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ZASTUP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Please tick the (extreme left) to	0:Extreme	oest d eme ri	escrib ght).	es ea	ch pa 4	rty's ove	rall id	l <b>eolog</b> 7	<b>y on a</b> 8	scale	10:Extreme	Don't
NF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BPP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAMOPOMICH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ОВ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SVOBODA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KPU	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SU	0	0	0	$\circ$	0	0	$\circ$	0	0	0	0	0
GP	0	0	0	$\circ$	0	0	$\circ$	0	0	0	0	0
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$
PS	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Parties can be left want gover emphasize a re regulation, less	nment to educed ec governm	play a onom	n acti ic role	ve role for go	e in th overn	ne econo ment: pr	my. P ivatiz	arties ation,	on the	ecor	nomic righ , less	
	0:Extreme left	1	2	3	4	5:Center	6	7	8	9	10:Extreme right	Don't know
NF	0	$\circ$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
RP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

SVOBODA	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0		$\circ$
KPU	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$
SU	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	0
GP	0	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0	0
ZASTUP	0	0	0	0	$\circ$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PS	0	0	0	0	$\circ$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	I											
Next, we would	like you to	think	about	t the s	salien	ice of e	conor	nic iss	sues f	or a r	arty. Over	the
course of 2014,												
	0: No importance	1	2	3		4 5	5	6	7	8		0: Great portance
NF	0	0	0	0		) (		0	0	0	0	0
BPP	0	0	0	0	(			0	0	0	0	0
SAMOPOMICH	0	0	0	0		) (		0	0	0	0	0
ОВ	0	0	0	0		) (		0	0	0	0	0
RP	0	0	0	0	(	) (		0	0	0	0	0
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	0	0	0	(	0		0	0	0	0	0
SVOBODA	0	0	0	0	(	0 0		0	0	0	0	0
KPU	0	0	0	0	(	0		0	0	0	0	0
SU	0	0	0	0	(	0 0		0	0	0	0	0
GP	0	0	0	0	(	0		0	0	0	0	0
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	0	0	(	0		0	0	0	0	0
PS	0	$\circ$	0	0	(	0		0	$\circ$	0	0	0
	I											
Parties can be of "Libertarian" or access to abort "Traditional" or stability, and be cultural issues.	r "postmate ion, active o "authoritar elieve that th	rialist eutha ian" ¡	t" part nasia, parties	ies fa , same s ofte	vor e e-sex n reje	xpande marria ect thes	ed per ge, or e idea	sonal greates; the	freed er der y valu	oms, nocra ie ord	for exampl atic particip der, traditio	ation. n, and
	Postmaterialis	t 1	2	3	4	5:Cente	r 6	7	8	9	Authoritarian	know
NF	0	$\circ$	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0
ОВ	0	$\circ$	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0
RP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
KPU												

SU

		$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
PS	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0
Over the cours their public sta	nce?	ow im	nporta	nt wer	e liber	tarian	tradi	tional	issue	s to th		
	0:No importance	1	2	3	4	5		6	7	8		10: Great mportance
NF	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	C	)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	C	)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	C	)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	C	)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
RP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	C	)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	C	)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	C	)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
KPU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	C	)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	C	)	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
GP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	C	)	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	C	)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
PS	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\subset$	)	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Jkraine Questions Please conside Position on the		ving is	sues i	n Ukra	aine. V	/here	did th	ıe par	ty lead	lershi	p stand?	
	1	of the	e confl	ict in t	the reg	jions d	of Doi	netsk			sk	
	0:The regions should be fully reintegrated into Ukraine	of the	e confl	ict in t	the reg	<b>jions d</b>	of Doi	netsk 7				Don't
NF	0:The regions should be fully reintegrated								and L	uhans	10:The regions should be allowed to become	Don't
NF BPP	0:The regions should be fully reintegrated	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	and L	uhans	10:The regions should be allowed to become	Don't
	0:The regions should be fully reintegrated	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	and L	uhans	10:The regions should be allowed to become	Don't
BPP	0:The regions should be fully reintegrated	1 0	2	3	4	5	6	7	and L	y 9	10:The regions should be allowed to become	Don't
BPP SAMOPOMICH	0:The regions should be fully reintegrated	1 0 0	2	3	4	5	6 0 0	7 0 0	and L	y 9	10:The regions should be allowed to become	Don't
BPP SAMOPOMICH OB	0:The regions should be fully reintegrated	1 0 0 0	2 0 0	3 0	4	5	6	7 0 0 0 0	8 O	9 O	10:The regions should be allowed to become	Don't
BPP SAMOPOMICH OB RP	0:The regions should be fully reintegrated	1 0 0 0 0	2	3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4	5	6 0 0 0 0	7 0 0 0 0 0 0	8 O	9 O	10:The regions should be allowed to become	Don't
BPP SAMOPOMICH OB RP BATKIVSHCHYNA	0:The regions should be fully reintegrated	1 0 0 0 0 0	2	3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4	5	6 0 0 0 0 0	7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8 OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO	9 0	10:The regions should be allowed to become	Don't

GP	0	0	C		) (	)	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ZASTUP	0	0	C			$\supset$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
PS	0					$\supset$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Position on ded	0:Ukraine should remain a unitary state	tion a	and au	<b>itonoi</b> 3	my foi	r <b>Don</b>	etsk a	and Lu	uhans 8	s <b>k</b> 9	dece De Luh	kraine should entralize, and onetsk and lansk should have al/autonomous status	Don't know
NF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$		$\circ$	$\circ$
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$		0	$\circ$
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0	0	0		0	0
RP	0	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
KPU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
SU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$		0	$\circ$
GP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$		0	$\circ$
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$		$\circ$	$\circ$
PS	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$		$\circ$	$\circ$
Position on sta	0:Russian and Ukrainian should both be state	ges				Ukra sho ren the sta lang Rus sho ha statr offi lang wher majo	5:  ininian  puld  nain  only  ate  uage.  ssian  puld  ive  us of  cial  uage  re the  rity of  ne  lation					10:Ukrainian should be the only state language. Russian should not be used for state/official functions anywhere in	Don't
	languages	1	2	3	4		nts it	6	7	8	9	Ukraine	know
NF	0	0	0	0	0		)	0	0	0	0	0	0
BPP	0	0	0	0	0		)	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAMOPOMICH	0	0	0	0	0		)	0	0	0	0	0	0
OB	0	0	0	0	0		)	0	0	0	0	0	0
RP	0	$\circ$	0	0	0	(	)	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0	0

BATKIVSHCHYNA SVOBODA KPU SU GP ZASTUP PS	000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	0 0 0 0 0 0	0000000	0000000	0000000	0000000	000000	0000000
View of the role	0:Russia is not militarily involved	ussiar	2 2	ration 3	in the	5	et in U	kraine 7	8	9	10:Regular Russian troops are fighting in Ukraine on the orders of Russia	Don't know
NF	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
RP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
KPU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
GP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
PS	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Image of the pa	0:The party is completely free from the		ased (	on its	leaders	ship, f	inancii	ng and	supp	orting	10:The party is completely	
	influence of										controlled by	Don't
	oligarchs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	oligarchs	know
NF	0	$\circ$	0	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0	0	0	$\circ$
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$
ОВ	0	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	0	0	$\circ$
RP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

0				$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$		$\circ$
	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
$\circ$	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
$\circ$	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
			wher	e polit	ical pa	arties	stood	on the	e follov	ving <b>poli</b>	су
oving p 0:Fully in favour of raising axes to acrease public ervices	oublic s	service 2	e <b>s vs. r</b> 3	educir 4	ng taxo	<b>98.</b> 6	7	8	9	10:Fully in favour of cutting public services to cut taxes.	Don't know
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ving p D:Fully in favour of raising axes to acrease public	eving public solutions of reases to acrease public ervices 1	ke you to consider large in 2014.	ke you to consider when the large in 2014.  Solving public services vs. reconstruction of the large in axes to acrease public ervices 1 2 3	ke you to consider where politically in favour of training trainin	ke you to consider where political parallel kraine in 2014.  Eving public services vs. reducing taxe  D:Fully in favour of raising axes to acrease public ervices 1 2 3 4 5	ke you to consider where political parties alkraine in 2014.  Ving public services vs. reducing taxes.  D:Fully in favour of raising axes to acrease public ervices 1 2 3 4 5 6	ke you to consider where political parties stood lkraine in 2014.  ving public services vs. reducing taxes.  D:Fully in favour of raising axes to acrease public ervices 1 2 3 4 5 6 7  O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	ke you to consider where political parties stood on the lkraine in 2014.  ving public services vs. reducing taxes.  D:Fully in favour of raising axes to corease public ervices 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ke you to consider where political parties stood on the followal kraine in 2014.  Ving public services vs. reducing taxes.  District in the followal in the fo	ke you to consider where political parties stood on the following political in 2014.  ving public services vs. reducing taxes.  D:Fully in favour of aising public services in cutting public services in 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 taxes.  I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 taxes.

SAMOPOMICH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ОВ	0	0	0	$\circ$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SVOBODA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KPU	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SU	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ZASTUP	0	0	0	$\circ$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Position on red	0:Fully in favour of the redistribution of wealth from the rich to the poor	of wea	alth fro	om the	e rich	to the	poor.	7	8	9	10:Fully opposed to the redistribution of wealth from the rich to the poor	Don't know
NF	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
RP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
KPU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
GP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$
PS	0	$\circ$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\circ$	0	0	0
Position on stat	0:Fully in favour of state intervention in the economy	ion in	<b>the e</b>	conon	<b>ny.</b> 4	5	6	7	8	9	10:Fully opposed to state intervention in the economy	Don't know
NF	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$

RP BATKIVSHCHYNA SVOBODA KPU SU GP ZASTUP PS		0 0 0 0 0 0 0	00000000	0000000	00000000	0000000	00000000	0000000	0000000	00000000	0000000	0000000
Position on civi	0:Strongly promotes civil liberties	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10:Strongly supports tough measures to fight crime	Don't know
NF	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
RP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
KPU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
GP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
PS	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Position on soc	0:Strongly supports liberal policies	<b>le (e.g</b>	. <b>hom</b>	osexua 3	ality).	5	6	7	8	9	10:Strongly opposes liberal policies	Don't know
NF	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
RP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
KPU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$

SU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	0	0	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$
GP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
PS	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Position on role		us pri	nciple	s in p	olitics							
	0:Strongly opposes religious principles in politics	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10:Strongly supports religious principles in politics	Don't know
NF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
RP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
KPU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
SU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
GP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
PS	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
Position on imn	nigration p	olicy.										
	0:Fully opposed to a restrictive policy on immigration	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10:Fully in favour of a restrictive policy on immigration.	Don't know
NF	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
SAMOPOMICH	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ОВ	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
RP	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
KPU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
SU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
GP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
PS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Position on integration of immigrants and asylum seekers (multiculturalism vs. assimilation).

	0	•		•	,		•					,
	0:Strongly favors multiculturalism	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10:Strongly favors assimilation	Don't know
NF	0	$\circ$	0	$\circ$								
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
RP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
KPU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
SU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
GP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
PS	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									

## Position on urban vs. rural interests.

	0:Strongly supports urban interests	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10:Strongly supports rural interests	Don't know
NF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BPP	0	$\circ$		$\circ$								
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$		$\circ$								
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
RP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$		$\circ$								
KPU	0	$\circ$		$\circ$								
SU	0	$\circ$		$\circ$								
GP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									
PS	0	$\circ$	$\circ$									

# Position towards the environment.

0:Strongly supports environmental protection even at the

10:Strongly supports economic growth even Qualtrics Survey Software 26/06/15 17:07 cost of at the cost of economic environmental Don't growth 2 3 5 6 7 8 9 protection know 1 4 NF BPP 0 0 **SAMOPOMICH** OB RP BATKIVSHCHYNA **SVOBODA** KPU SU GP **ZASTUP** PS Position on political decentralization to regions/localities. 10:Strongly 0:Strongly opposes political favors political Don't decentralization 1 2 3 5 6 7 8 9 decentralization know NF BPP **SAMOPOMICH** 0 OB RP BATKIVSHCHYNA **SVOBODA** KPU SU GP ZASTUP PS Position towards international security and peacekeeping missions. 0:Strongly 10:Strongly favors opposes Ukrainian Ukrainian troop troop Don't deployment 3 4 5 6 9 deployment 1 2 7 8 know NF BPP

**SAMOPOMICH** 

ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
RP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
KPU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
GP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
PS	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Position toward	o:Strongly supports more rights for ethnic minorities	ninorit 1	ies.	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10:Strongly opposes more rights for ethnic minorities	Don't know
NF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
RP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
KPU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
GP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
PS	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
Position toward	0:Strongly promotes cosmopolita rather than nationalist conceptions of society	n	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10:Strongly promotes nationalist rather than cosmopolitan conceptions of society	Don't know
NF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
RP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$

BATKIVSHCHYNA	0				$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$		$\circ$
SVOBODA	0	C		0	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
KPU	0	C		0	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SU	0	C		0	0	0	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$
GP	0	C		0	0	0	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$
ZASTUP	0	C		0	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$
PS	0	C		0	0	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$
Next, we'd li each party o	-					lienc	e of t	he fo	llowi	ng is	ssues for	
Salience of anti	I	hment	t and a	nti-eli	te rhet	toric.						
	0:Not important at all	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10:Extremely important	Don't Know
NF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$
RP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
KPU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SU	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
GP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$
ZASTUP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
PS	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	0	0	$\circ$
Salience of red	ucing pol 0:Not important at all	itical o	corrup 2	otion.	4	5	6	7	8	9	10:Extremely important	Don't Know
NF	0	0	0	0	$\circ$	0	0	$\circ$	0	0	0	0
BPP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SAMOPOMICH	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
ОВ	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
RP	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
BATKIVSHCHYNA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
SVOBODA	0	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$	$\circ$
	I											

	First Most Important	Second Most Imporant	Thrid Most Important
NF	•	•	<b>\$</b>
BPP	•	÷	<b>\$</b>
SAMOPOMICH	•	÷	<b>\$</b>
ОВ	•	<b>\$</b>	•
RP	•	•	<b>\$</b>
BATKIVSHCHYNA	•	•	•
SVOBODA	•	•	•
KPU	•	•	•
SU	•	•	•
GP	•	•	•
ZASTUP	•	•	<b>○</b>
PS	•	•	•
heir views tow		rian/traditional, and El	ypothetical parties and J issues. We would like ensions.
nettes			
First, we would	like you to place hypoth	etical <b>Parties A, B, and</b>	d C on the EU dimension
	s the European Union as a		nization in which member buld be the dominant players. I
states, not the Eu ejects exiting the		m state sovereignty from the	ne EU. <i>On a 1-7 point scale</i>

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Qualtrics Survey Software

KPU

SU GP

ZASTUP

26/06/15 17:07

0

Party A	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Party B of citizenshi become the and 7 bei	p and a ra he goverr <i>ng extren</i>	ange of nment o ne pro-E	public of the Eu	goods. T Iropean re would	his pa Union <i>you p</i>	rty believ . On a 1- lace this	es the l 7 point party?	Europea	an Com vith 1 be	missior eing ext	should reme and	ti-EU
	1:Anti-El	U	2	3	4	:Center	5		6	7:Pro-	EU Doi	n't know
Party B	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Party C to policy man feasible. at the nate anti-EU and the policy	king. The Europear ional/subi and 7 being	e party in policy national general partional general partional general partional general particular	s willing should I level s	g to pool be guide hould no	natiored by solution by the contract of the co	nal sovere subsidiari entralized uld you pla	eignty in ty, the p d. <i>On a</i>	n the EU principle <i>1-7 poil</i>	J if this that w	is efficient hat can with 1	ent and be bette being ex	r done treme
	1:Anti-El	J	2	3	4	:Center	5		6	7:Pro-	EU Doi	n't know
Party C	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
between extreme I	or a welfai ts excessi welfare sp	re state ive inter pending	. Howervention and ed	ever, this n of the s conomic	party tate in compe	opposes the ecor	state o nomy. I s. <i>On</i>	wnershi t believe <i>a 0-10 p</i>	p, defe es there point sc	nds priv e is a sh	ate prop arp trade	
Party A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Party B v favors ac progressi left and 1	tive gover	nment i	in regul redistrib	ating dor outive so	mestic cial pr	and interograms.	rnationa On a 0-	al marke 10 poin	ets, and	suppor	ts steep	y
Party B												
Party C to markets, redistriburight whe	supports t tive socia re would y	the priv I progra /ou plac	atization ims. On ce this p	n of man a 0-10 p party?	y gove	ernment o scale with	operatio 0 being	ons, and g extren	oppos ne left a	es high and 10 k	taxes to being ext	fund
	0:Left	1	2	3	4	5:Center	6	7	8	9	10:Right	know
Party C	0	$\circ$	0	0								

Finally, we'd like you to place these 3 hypothetical parties on the libertarian/traditional dimension.

	0:Libertarian/ Postmaterialist	1	2	3	4	5:Center	6	7	8	9	10:Traditional/ Authoritarian	Don knov
Party A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
opposes this is ne	I	as. Th	e party ts broa	/ sees id goal	itself a s. <i>On</i>	as a pragi <i>a 0-10 pc</i>	matic <sub>l</sub>	party th ale with	nat is w h 0 bei	illing t ng ext	o compromis reme would you pl	ace
	0:Libertarian/ Postmaterialist	1	2	3	4	5:Center	6	7	8	9	10:Traditional/ Authoritarian	Don kno
												_
Party C o egalizati irm mora 'Libertari	I	x mar social	riage a and c	ind the ultural	right issues	to die. It s. <i>On a 0</i>	believe -10 pc	es that oint sca	the go	vernm 0 beii	nent should b ng extreme would you pl	ace
Party C o legalizati firm mora "Libertari	on of same-se al authority on an/postmateri	x mar social	riage a and c	ind the ultural	right issues	to die. It s. <i>On a 0</i>	believe -10 pc	es that oint sca	the go	vernm 0 beii	nent should b ng extreme	ace
Party C o legalizati firm mora "Libertari this party	on of same-se al authority on an/postmateri /?	ex mar social alist" <i>ai</i>	riage a and ci nd 10 l	and the ultural i being e	right issues extrem	to die. It s. <i>On a 0</i> ne "Traditi	believ -10 pc onal/a	es that oint sca uthorita	the go le with arian" i	overnm 0 beii where	nent should b ng extreme would you pl 10:Traditional/	ace Doi
legalizati firm mora "Libertari this party Party C	on of same-se al authority on an/postmateri /?	ex mar social alist" <i>ai</i>	riage a and ci nd 10 l	and the ultural i being e	right issues extrem	to die. It s. <i>On a 0</i> ne "Traditi	believ -10 pc onal/a	es that oint sca uthorita	the go le with arian" i	overnm 0 beii where	nent should bing extreme would you pl	
Party C of legalizating mora "Libertari this party C pert demo	on of same-se al authority on an/postmateri ??  O:Libertarian/ Postmaterialist	ex mar social alist" <i>al</i>	riage a and ci nd 10 l	and the ultural i being e	right issues extrem	to die. It s. <i>On a 0</i> ne "Traditi	believ -10 pc onal/a	es that oint sca uthorita	the go le with arian" i	overnm 0 beii where	nent should bing extreme would you pl	ace Doi
Party C of legalizating mora "Libertari this party C pert demo	on of same-se al authority on an/postmateri ??  O:Libertarian/ Postmaterialist  Ographics	ex mar social alist" <i>al</i>	riage a and ci nd 10 l	and the ultural i being e	right issues extrem	to die. It s. <i>On a 0</i> ne "Traditi	believ -10 pc onal/a	es that oint sca uthorita	the go le with arian" i	overnm 0 beii where	nent should bing extreme would you pl	ace
Party C of legalizating mora "Libertaring this party C pert demo	on of same-se al authority on an/postmateri /? 0:Libertarian/ Postmaterialist ographics	ex mar social alist" <i>al</i>	riage a and ci nd 10 l	and the ultural i being e	right issues extrem	to die. It s. <i>On a 0</i> ne "Traditi	believ -10 pc onal/a	es that oint sca uthorita	the go le with arian" i	overnm 0 beii where	nent should bing extreme would you pl	ace

If you hole	d a doct	toral degr	ree, in w	hich cou	untry did y	ou rece	ive it?			
				0						
•					omic "left" ber on a s		_		•	
	•		_	•	describes		111 0 10 10	, which c	riicans	, icit
0:Left	1	2	3	4	5:Center	6	7	8	9	10:Right
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Please inc	dicate y n/postr	our views	s using a	ny num	tarian/tradi ber on a s tradition	cale fro	m 0 to 10	, where (	) means	6
0:Libertarian/ Postmaterialis	t 1	2	3	4	5:Center	6	7	8	9	10:Traditional/ Authoritarian
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0